IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
FARAD CONTINUNATION SHEET

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.50 OF 2007
IN
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.185 OF 2001

.....

Office Notes,Office memorandum of Coram, appearances,Court's orders or directions & Registrar's orders.	**Court's or Judge's orders**

.....

Mr.Atul Damble for the Applicant
Mr.Ramakant Yadav i/b Mr.Hetal Patel for Respondent No.1

CORAM: A.S.OKA, J.
DATE : MARCH 16,2007.

P.C.:

1. Heard Advocate for the Applicant. The Applicant is the second Respondent in the Contempt Petition. My attention has been invited to the order dated 24th August 2004. In the said order, this court has recorded that a prima facie case has been made out that there has been a breach of order. This Court has observed that whether the first Respondent or third Respondents are guilty of breach has to be decided at the time of hearing of the Contempt Petition. While admitting the Contempt Petition, this Court has issued contempt notice to the first and third

Respondents and no notice has been issued against the second Respondent. It is obvious that the contempt Petition does not survive against the second Respondent-Edwin Benedit.

2. In view of this position, it is not necessary to pass any order on this Application and the Application is disposed of.

JUDGE